1394 Printer Working Group Atlanta Meeting - 9/15 & 9/16 Attendees: Don Wright Lexmark / PWG Chair Greq LeClair Epson / 1394PWG/1212 Task Group Chair Larry Stein Warp 9 / 1394PWG Secretary Brian Batchelder HP / 1394 PWG Editor Seiko Epson Fumio Nagasaka Yoshinori Murakami Epson Atsushi Nakamura Canon Osamu Hirata Canon Canon Shigeru Ueda Akihiro Shimura Canon Brian Nagy Kodak Lexmark Jerry Thrasher Greg Shue HP Alan Berkema HP Lee Farrell Canon Randy Turner Sharp Agenda I. Introductions II. Next meetings: Boulder/Denver 10/27 & 10/28 Los Angeles 12/1 & 12/2 Hawaii (January - TBD) III. Meeting Plan: FDS - Presentations - Discussion Transport - Presentations - Discussion Documents - Sub-working group(s) on 9/16 afternoon & 9/17 all day IV. How to bring closure and issue results FDS proposal (PWG & PWG-C) SBP-2 based printer definition (PWG) FCP based printer definition (PWG-C) IP/1394 based printer definition (TBD) Meeting called to order at 8:38 by PWG chair Don Wright. \$42 per day meeting charge. I. Introductions II. Next meetings: Boulder/Denver 10/27 & 10/28 Boulderado Hotel 2115 13th St. Boulder, CO 80302 Ph. 303-442-4344 Reservations 1-800-433-4344 \$104 standard

\$114 Deluxe

The October meeting could not be moved because the meeting contracts have already been completed.

Los Angeles 12/1 & 12/2

1998 Schedule: Hawaii (January - TBD) Jan 19-23 or 26-30

(Schedule proposal to be posted separately. - GL)

Don Wright asked Greg LeClair to approach 1394 TA Steering Committee if future meetings could be arranged +/-1 week to allow attendance by members from PWG-C at both meetings.

1394PWG meeting started here. Greg LeClair - Chair

III. Meeting Plan:

Ats Nakamura, Canon made presentation on the PWG-C. PWG-C met in September. The FDS will be a PWG-C proposal to PWG. The PWG-C expects to present the Direct Printing Proposal at the DSI working group at the 1394TA. Target date for 1st draft is October.

III.A. FDS

- Presentations - Discussion

Please refer to spec posted on the pwg web site: FDS05.PDF

"How do we find a printer in a multi-device topology?" We don't want to utilize a specific protocol just to find a function in a topology. Other proposals include: SDD - Self Describing Devices (Sony proposal)

Add key "mode_unit_id" to 1212 structure.

We should define what we mean by "Independent" functions as used in the 'function_class' key.

The 1394PWG will seed the initial function_class keys. The list will be extensible and maintained by the IEEE RAC. The initial list will include:

printer scanner fax multi-function

Motion made by Larry Stein to adopt the FDS Ver. 0.5 specification as the basis for Function Discovery for 1394PWG. This will become Version 0.1 of the PWG1394 Function Discovery specification. This includes:

- New root entry for FDS support
- Point to directory of function descriptor.
- Configuration change flag
- Function_List definition and contents
- Function_Description definition and contents

Seconded by Randy Turner, passed without objection. Open issues for fds05 include: - Exact number of keys - Exact format of keys and fields - Driver info block - Does a suitable global registry exist? - Do we make the registry extensible? - Do we make the registry bus dependent? - Do we seed the registry with certain functional classes? Plug and Play (Microsoft PnP spec for 1394) requires a separate unit directory for each function. This is different than the current implementation for SBP2 and AV/C protocols. End of day 1. Dav 2 Meeting called to order at 8:45AM III.B Transport - Presentations III.B.1 Greg Shue -- Parallel Port Replacement Protocol Requirements Outline of services that are provided on a parallel port that may need to be provided on a 1394 system. This may be used as a set of requirements with which to measure transport protocol options. Required services to emulate connectivity of the parallel port: Connection Oriented Access Control Reliable Guaranteed Data Delivery Flow Control Error Detection Error Correction/Recovery In Order Data Delivery Service Discovery Direct Print Protocol requirements as defined by PWG-C for thin layer: (R is a requirement as determined by 1394PWG, W is a want) R 1- Symmetrical Connection Peer to peer start of connection 2- "Real Time" Processing R Unsolicited Status indication 3- Multi-channel R Independent channels for Command and Data, for example 4- Dynamic allocation of memory W Full usage of 1394 memory model (not like FCP with fixed window location and size) 5- Flow control of Command R push 6- Flow control of data R push R pull W ISO 7- Negotiation R memory allocation data flow other parameters

R 8- Packet Segmentation 9- Error Recovery R reconnect timeout Low priority items: 10- Compatibility with FCP/AVC not a requirement to be FCP 11- Multilogin multiple host 12- Multicast host to multiple ports/devices OSI(ish) Model for 1394PWG APP Session Transport peer to peer full usage of memory bus model Negotiable transfer sizes to maximize use of MTU (Maximum Transfer Unit) Flow Control Negotiation Service Discovery Datalink CSR interface at device specific location Flow control CSR access negotiation Transient Connection Disruption Tolerance Node update - routing to transport Transaction Read-Write lock Phv Fumio Nagasaka -- Epson III.B.2 SBP2 Printing Model Minimal requirements for PC Printing protocol Multiple Logical Channels Flow Control Multiple Hosts Connectivity Multiple Targets Connectibility Reconnection after bus reset Multiplexing to support multiple clients for the transport. Implementation of multiple logical channels through SBP2 How many logins does one printing session require 1-Build MLC internally within one login 2-Requires multiple login as same number of logical channel 3-Prioritize logins Believe that #3, Prioritize logins, is the best solution This will implement a Primary host login but allow for other hosts to login. Additional hosts will login as Secondary priority hosts. Latest Epson proposal is available on the PWG website. III.B.3 Akihiro Shimura - Canon HPT High Performance Transport HPT is a Command set layer on top of SBP2 that adds the functionality of the required transport. Full duplex communication Queuing model Request based Flow Control 3 command, 2 status data transfer read request, requested read direct status, direct status response Primitive device control/status 4 command, 3 status Acquire, Release, Abdicate device response Basic device status Logical Channel 2 command, 2 status Open, Close channel HPT Objectives High performance with low overhead Bi-directional data transport Multiple service channels Application independence Backward compatibility with bus environment support Self configurable (like 1284.4 open channel), no prior setup required Please review specification at ftp://ftp.tokyoweb.or.jp/pwgc1394/pub/proposals/canon/HPT03E.pdf and it is also available on the 1394 PWG web site. Alan Berkema -- Hewlett-Packard III.B.4 FCP or SBP2 versus Printing Protocol Requirements SBP2 Not true bi-directional communication Bi-directional extensions complicated and troubled ORB fetching considered "heavy protocol" FCP No access control Fixed communication address Does not extend to multiple devices Proposal DFA -- "Data FIFO Address Protocol" Create an Inbound and Outbound Queue on each of the initiator and target Both sides push data into the peer's data fifo Login and Login Response Provides access control Facilitates connection to multiple devices Allows simple reconnection Provides for unsolicited status Limited Loginless status through query logins Need data FIFO address exchange mechanism Pros Borrowed from IP1394 Sort of FCP like Bi-direction communication Efficient 1394 unified block write transactions simple, easy to explain command set independent easily add higher layer protocols

Logins do not add that much weight to FCP Extensible to multiple devices cons Does not take advantage of 1394 shared memory Do packets need additional header info Does not address flow control Could use this as a datalink layer for a 1284.4 transport client. Review of requirements for Thick Transport Protocol stack III.B.5 (TP/DL/PHY) Requirements: 1. Connection Oriented Open and close and connection to a service. A connection between two endpoints A service is above the transport. One connection cannot block another 2. Reliable Data is received correctly and in order of transmission 3. Byte steam and Buffer interface to the application 4. Service Discovery Provides directory of services available to the transport. Provides query support 5. Multiple Logical Channels Allows multiple and independent connections to a device or between different devices 6. Bi-directional data transfer 7. Peer to Peer Either end may open or close a connection 8. Application independent 9. Does not preclude concurrent operation of other protocol stacks 10. Transient link interruptions are transparent Wants: 1. Connectionless support 2. Multi-casting 3. Bus Independent transport 4. Data Tagging (Out of Band) Clarifications Connections: peer to peer (open/close/data) from either end bi-directional 1:1 relationship between endpoints Reliable III.C Documents - Sub-working group for FDS will meet on 9/17. - See following comments from 9/17 meeting: IV. How to bring closure and issue results FDS proposal (PWG & PWG-C) SBP-2 based printer definition (PWG) FCP based printer definition (PWG-C) IP/1394 based printer definition (TBD)

Discussion on above topics was raised by Greg LeClair. Don Wright

proposed that the discussion on requirements be formalized and each proposal submitter explain if requirements were met by their proposal. Plan accepted and reflected below in Action items. V. Action Items 1. FDS sub-group to meet on 9/17 and begin revising FDS doc. (Nakamura, Nagasaka, Murakami, Thrasher, LeClair) 2. Requirements doc to be published ASAP (Shue, Batchelder) 3. Comparison of Proposals to Requirements doc to be published at least 1 week prior to Boulder meeting for consideration by WG. Comparison and updated proposals should be sent to Greg LeClair for posting by 10/20. (All proposal submitters) Sub-working group meeting on FDS - 9/17. Goal of meeting today: Put document into public hands - Items in questions that need addressing: - Key value 18h - ConfigROM dynamic nature???? - Establish rule of usage - boundary conditions - Configuration_state change -> Configuration register - forcing it to Random number may preclude use by a vendor as a '1 of n' configuration value - Consider allocating space and recommending usage. - Exact value is vendor dependent. Nagasaka asked question if we really need FDS as it is defined - Reason: existing mechanism - re-read ConfigROM - GL this is non-deterministic, - Configuration change identifier tells us if ROM is changed - Global point of view - Config_Identifier is just for FDS or global for ConfigROM Document Action Items: Title suggestions Terminology: Greg will draft explanation for forward. - Big picture List of function and Unit identifier Greg will send Ats a list of terminology changes - i.e. we used this - now should be this Rewrite document to utilize same terminology as IEEE-1212 - Possibly add new terminology to identify new items (FUNCTION) - Change Annex A to informative Point out contentious issues in the Overview - Issues TBD - Past discussion history - Issues addressed & resolution (Like SBP-2 spec) Current issues: - scope of configuration identifier (just FDS or entire config ROM) - Scope is just FDS or entire Config ROM - break value into fields to identify what has changed. - Possibly other method, needs investigation.

- Function Class categorization
 - How is it represented pair of FUNCTION & UNIT IDENTIFIER
 - Central RAC for all "FUNCTION CLASSES" (IEEE RAC? others)
 - Value for UNIT IDENTIFIER
 - Pointer to Unit directory or

– same as (which Key?) in the Unit directory to identify the "I/O driver software" $% \left[1/2\right] =0$

- Annex A Function Unit Info field
 - Usage is TBD
 - 1394 PWG is discussing content such as legacy PNP string.
 - Feedback appreciated
 - Will be made informative at this time.

Nagasaka raised issue of power management for multi-function unit - Requires further discussion, decided it did not directly affect FDS and further discussion was postponed.

Meeting closed.

Submitted by:

Larry Stein Warp Nine Engineering lstein@fapo.com

and

Greg LeClair EPSON Imaging Technology Center greg@erc.epson.com