

1 **Meeting Minutes**  
2 **PWG MFD Working Group Teleconference**  
3 **December 06, 2007**

4 **Attendees:**  
5

Nancy Chen	Oki Data
Mike Fenelon	Microsoft
Ira McDonald	Blue Roof Music Inc.
Glen Petrie	Epson
Peter Zehler	Xerox

- 6
- 7 ■ The meeting minutes from Nov. 15, 2007 was approved.
  - 8 ■ Glen has sent out two sets of comments, mostly editorial changes. There are some concept changes  
9 proposed:
    - 10 ○ API should describe what to do instead of how to do things.
    - 11 ○ Configured Scan Job Template – a Scan Job Template after modified by a user becomes a  
12 Configured Template. The opposing arguments are: the word “configured” conflicts with the  
13 defaults of the server, and nothing is ever configured on the client, only on the server. We  
14 should not use the word “configured” here.
    - 15 ○ A lot of terms used in the diagrams are not consistent with the document.
    - 16 ○ Document numbering 1~N should not be a requirement. There are other ways of numbering  
17 documents such as Glen-a~z. This proposal was rejected because this numbering is an  
18 identifier of a document used in a Scan Job, not the document file name assigned by a user.  
19 In PWG convention, this is a sequential integer number.
    - 20 ○ Requirements need to be simple requirements.
    - 21 ○ Action item: Pete will capture all the comments in a PDF document and will be addressed in  
22 the next teleconference.
  - 23 ■ Pete briefly walked through primary changes in Scan Service Schema:
    - 24 ○ The elements of internal scan documents have been updated.
    - 25 ○ Names of capabilities line up with the names of elements in job ticket. However, there are  
26 local definitions for representing ranges or allowed values for the capabilities. For example,  
27 OrientationRequested is a NMTOKEN in job ticket, but its local definition is a sequence of  
28 NMTOKENs that represent allowed orientations.
    - 29 ○ Some elements we need to be discussed how to represent them in face-to-face meeting. For  
30 example, resolution. Some capabilities are simply a Boolean value to mean whether that  
31 capability is supported. Some are ranges or values that are allowed.
    - 32 ○ How to represent ScanJobReceipt need to be discussed – use the suffix “-Actuals” or an  
33 instance of a job ticket.
    - 34 ○ Changes in WSDL file – we need to discuss the operations and their parameters in the face-  
35 to-face meeting
  - 36 ■ Plan for Face-to-Face discussions:
    - 37 ○ Scan Service operations and their parameters.
    - 38 ○ Gain agreement on the data model of the Scan Service, the elements that make up jobs,  
39 documents, and processing instructions.
    - 40 ○ Maybe some highlights of document.

- 1           ○ Probably walking through the schema –Implies certain operational model, what ranges
- 2           /behavior/ service capability could span that collection of Scan Service functionality. Discuss
- 3           use cases at high level, operation at data level of scan service. What groups of objects we
- 4           want to use in the model. Argue semantic of each element via which discover definitions in
- 5           various scan environments. Operational definition and behavior will feed back to definition
- 6           of elements.
- 7           ○ Pete will send out an preliminary agenda for the face-to-face meeting.

8

9   **Next Teleconference: Pete will send out an email for the date and information.**