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1. Introduction

Provide an introduction for the document.

Figure 1 - An Example Figure

Table 1 - An Example Table Using the PWG Table Style

| Keyword | Description | Conformance |
| --- | --- | --- |
| One | The first keyword | REQUIRED |
| Two | The second keyword | OPTIONAL |

1. Terminology
	1. Conformance Terminology

Capitalized terms, such as MUST, MUST NOT, RECOMMENDED, REQUIRED, SHOULD, SHOULD NOT, MAY, and OPTIONAL, have special meaning relating to conformance as defined in Key words for use in RFCs to Indicate Requirement Levels [BCP14]. The term CONDITIONALLY REQUIRED is additionally defined for a conformance requirement that applies when a specified condition is true.

The term DEPRECATED is used for previously defined and approved protocol elements that SHOULD NOT be used or implemented. The term OBSOLETE is used for previously defined and approved protocol elements that MUST NOT be used or implemented.

* 1. Printing Terminology

Normative definitions and semantics of printing terms are imported from IETF Printer MIB v2 [RFC3805], IETF Finisher MIB [RFC3806], and IETF Internet Printing Protocol/1.1 [STD92].

*Document*: An object created and managed by a Printer that contains the description, processing, and status information. A Document object may have attached data and is bound to a single Job.

*Job*: An object created and managed by a Printer that contains description, processing, and status information. The Job also contains zero or more Document objects.

*Logical Device*: a print server, software service, or gateway that processes jobs and either forwards or stores the processed job or uses one or more Physical Devices to render output.

*Output Device*: a single Logical or Physical Device

*Physical Device*: a hardware implementation of a endpoint device, e.g., a marking engine, a fax modem, etc.

* 1. Protocol Role Terminology

The following protocol roles are defined to specify unambiguous conformance requirements:

*Client*: Initiator of outgoing connections and sender of outgoing operation requests (Hypertext Transfer Protocol -- HTTP/1.1 [RFC7230] User Agent).

*Printer*: Listener for incoming connections and receiver of incoming operation requests (Hypertext Transfer Protocol -- HTTP/1.1 [RFC7230] Server) that represents one or more Physical Devices or a Logical Device.

* 1. Other Terminology

*Capitalized Term In Italics*: definition of the term with any references as appropriate.

* 1. Acronyms and Organizations

*IANA*: Internet Assigned Numbers Authority, <http://www.iana.org/>

*IETF*: Internet Engineering Task Force, <http://www.ietf.org/>

*ISO*: International Organization for Standardization, <http://www.iso.org/>

*PWG*: Printer Working Group, <http://www.pwg.org/>

1. Requirements
	1. Rationale

Given the following existing specifications:

1. Title of First Specification [REFERENCE1]
2. Title of Second Specification [REFERENCE2]
3. ...
4. Title of Nth Specification [REFERENCEN]

And given the need for WHAT YOU NEED, the TITLE OF REGISTRATION should:

1. Provide foo,
2. Define bar, and
3. Define bla.
	1. Use Cases

Provide use cases for the registration in subsections using the casual use case format.

* 1. Exceptions

The following subsections define exceptions in addition to those defined in the Internet Printing Protocol/1.1 [STD92].

Provide exceptions for the use cases using the casual use case format.

* 1. Out of Scope

The following are considered out of scope for this registration:

1. Definition of new file formats; and
2. Definition of new protocol bindings.
	1. Design Requirements

The design requirements for this registration are:

1. Define attributes and values to describe ...;
2. Define operations for ...;
3. Define security requirements necessary to support ...; and
4. Define sections to register all attributes, values, and operations with IANA.

The design recommendations for this registration are:

1. Support additional "nice to have" use cases
2. Model

Provide detailed information starting in section 4. Attributes, values, and operations are defined in later sections.

1. New Attributes
	1. Operation Attributes
		1. attribute-name (syntax)
	2. Document Description Attributes
		1. attribute-name (syntax)
	3. Document Status Attributes
		1. attribute-name (syntax)
	4. Document Template Attributes
		1. attribute-name (syntax)
	5. Job Description Attributes
		1. attribute-name (syntax)
	6. Job Status Attributes
		1. attribute-name (syntax)
	7. Job Template Attributes
		1. attribute-name (syntax)
	8. Printer Description Attributes
		1. attribute-name (syntax)
	9. Printer Status Attributes
		1. attribute-name (syntax)
2. New Operations

Note: New operations with complex semantics will likely require a standards-track document and not a simple registration to be given a non-vendor operation code.

* 1. Operation-Name
1. New Values for Existing Attributes
	1. attribute-name (syntax)

Note: Registration documents cannot define additional semantics for (extensions to) existing operations defined in a specification - that requires a standards track document.

1. Conformance Requirements
	1. Printer Conformance Requirements

In order for a Printer to claim conformance to this document, a Printer MUST support:

1. The required attributes and values defined in section ??;
2. The required operations defined in section ??;
3. The additional values defined in section ??;
4. The internationalization considerations defined in section 6; and
5. The security considerations defined in section 7.
	1. Client Conformance Requirements

In order for a Client to claim conformance to this document, a Client MUST support:

1. The required attributes and values defined in section ??;
2. The required operations defined in section ??;
3. The additional values defined in section ??;
4. The internationalization considerations defined in section 6; and
5. The security considerations defined in section 7.
6. Internationalization Considerations

Tailor the following standard considerations.

For interoperability and basic support for multiple languages, conforming implementations MUST support:

1. The Universal Character Set (UCS) Transformation Format -- 8 bit (UTF-8) [STD63] encoding of Unicode [UNICODE] [ISO10646]; and
2. The Unicode Format for Network Interchange [RFC5198] which requires transmission of well-formed UTF-8 strings and recommends transmission of normalized UTF-8 strings in Normalization Form C (NFC) [UAX15].

Unicode NFC is defined as the result of performing Canonical Decomposition (into base characters and combining marks) followed by Canonical Composition (into canonical composed characters wherever Unicode has assigned them).

WARNING – Performing normalization on UTF-8 strings received from Clients and subsequently storing the results (e.g., in Job objects) could cause false negatives in Client searches and failed access (e.g., to Printers with percent-encoded UTF-8 URIs now 'hidden').

Implementations of this specification SHOULD conform to the following standards on processing of human-readable Unicode text strings, see:

Unicode Bidirectional Algorithm [UAX9] – left-to-right, right-to-left, and vertical

Unicode Line Breaking Algorithm [UAX14] – character classes and wrapping

Unicode Normalization Forms [UAX15] – especially NFC for [RFC5198]

Unicode Text Segmentation [UAX29] – grapheme clusters, words, sentences

Unicode Identifier and Pattern Syntax [UAX31] – identifier use and normalization

Unicode Collation Algorithm [UTS10] – sorting

Unicode Locale Data Markup Language [UTS35] – locale databases

Implementations of this specification are advised to also review the following informational documents on processing of human-readable Unicode text strings:

Unicode Character Encoding Model [UTR17] – multi-layer character model

Unicode Character Property Model [UTR23] – character properties

Unicode Conformance Model [UTR33] – Unicode conformance basis

1. Security Considerations

Provide security considerations for this specification, such as the following.

The IPP extensions defined in this document require the same security considerations as defined in the Internet Printing Protocol/1.1 [STD92].

Implementations of this specification SHOULD conform to the following standard on processing of human-readable Unicode text strings, see:

Unicode Security Mechanisms [UTS39] – detecting and avoiding security attacks

Implementations of this specification are advised to also review the following informational document on processing of human-readable Unicode text strings:

Unicode Security FAQ [UNISECFAQ] – common Unicode security issues

1. IANA Considerations
	1. Attribute Registrations

The attributes defined in this registration will be published by IANA according to the procedures in the Internet Printing Protocol/1.1 [STD92] in the following file:

http://www.iana.org/assignments/ipp-registrations

The registry entries will contain the following information:

Document Description attributes: Reference

-------------------------- ---------

name (type) [REFERENCE]

Document Status attributes: Reference

-------------------------- ---------

name (type) [REFERENCE]

Document Template attributes: Reference

-------------------------- ---------

name (type) [REFERENCE]

Job Description attributes: Reference

-------------------------- ---------

name (type) [REFERENCE]

Job Status attributes: Reference

-------------------------- ---------

name (type) [REFERENCE]

Job Template attributes: Reference

-------------------------- ---------

name (type) [REFERENCE]

Operation attributes: Reference

-------------------- ---------

name (type) [REFERENCE]

Printer Description attributes: Reference

------------------------------ ---------

name (type) [REFERENCE]

Printer Status attributes: Reference

------------------------------ ---------

name (type) [REFERENCE]

Subscription Description attributes: Reference

------------------------------ ---------

name (type) [REFERENCE]

Subscription Status attributes: Reference

------------------------------ ---------

name (type) [REFERENCE]

Subscription Template attributes: Reference

------------------------------ ---------

name (type) [REFERENCE]

* 1. Type2 keyword Registrations

The attributes defined in this registration will be published by IANA according to the procedures in the Internet Printing Protocol/1.1 [STD92] in the following file:

http://www.iana.org/assignments/ipp-registrations

The registry entries will contain the following information:

Attributes (attribute syntax)

 Keyword Attribute Value Reference

 ----------------------- ---------

name (type2 keyword) [REFERENCE]

 value-1 [REFERENCE]

 value-2 [REFERENCE]

name-supported (1setOf type2 keyword) [REFERENCE]

 < all name values > [REFERENCE]

* 1. Type2 enum Registrations

The attributes defined in this registration will be published by IANA according to the procedures in the Internet Printing Protocol/1.1 [STD92] in the following file:

http://www.iana.org/assignments/ipp-registrations

The registry entries will contain the following information:

Attributes (attribute syntax)

 Enum Value Enum Symbolic Name Reference

 ---------- ------------------ ---------

name (type2 enum) [REFERENCE]

 3 value-3 [REFERENCE]

 4 value-4 [REFERENCE]

operations-supported (1setOf type2 enum) [RFC8011]

 0xXXXX Operation-Name [REFERENCE]

* 1. Operation Registrations

The attributes defined in this registration will be published by IANA according to the procedures in the Internet Printing Protocol/1.1 [STD92] in the following file:

http://www.iana.org/assignments/ipp-registrations

The registry entries will contain the following information:

Operation Name Reference

-------------- ---------

Operation-Name [REFERENCE]

* 1. Status Code Registrations

The attributes defined in this registration will be published by IANA according to the procedures in the Internet Printing Protocol/1.1 [STD92] in the following file:

http://www.iana.org/assignments/ipp-registrations

The registry entries will contain the following information:

Value Status Code Name Reference

------ --------------------------------------------- ---------

0x0400:0x04FF - Client Error:

 0x04XX client-error-name [REFERENCE]

0x0500:0x05FF - Server Error:

 0x05XX server-error-name [REFERENCE]
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