

PWG Steering Committee:
2006-05-04 conference call minutes

Attendance:

Harry Lewis, Jerry Thrasher, Ron Bergman, Lee Farrell, Ira McDonald, Bill Wagner

Minute Taker:
Jerry Thrasher

Agenda

1. Approval of previous minutes
- 1a. Review of Action Items
2. MFP Alerts Effort Discussion
3. Review WIMS FA process
 - Lessons learned
 - Proposal to change 50% to 33% for Yes votes (reflecting number of abstentions)
 - Proposal to allow Last Call to span the Plenary rather than end at a Plenary (to address holiday and vacation season issues)
 - Proposal to automatically extend Last Call period if less than 30% of PWG members are participating in a specific project.
4. June f2f agenda
5. Membership status
6. PWG Patent Policy work item
7. Other Topics.

-
1. Approval of minutes: Approved
 - 1a. Review of Action Items.

Harry still needs to ping the participants about MIB anomalies for use by the MIB Developer's Guide BOF.
(other AI's completed)

2. MFP Alerts Discussion.

Discussion: The group discussed the schedule that was submitted by Ron Bergman.

<ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/pmp/ProjectSchedules/MFP-PRINTER-MIB-PROJECT.pdf>
There was some discussion about the Host Resources MIB extensions (3rd item) and how devices might be described both there and in the MFP (Imaging System) MIB effort as well.

Resolution:

The MFP Printer MIB Alerts project and the MFP (Imaging System) MIB efforts were approved as work items of the PMP Working Group. These efforts may run concurrently.

- a. Printer MIB Alerts Extension
- b. MFP (Imaging System) MIB

The third work item is tabled for now until a better definition/or proposal is received. This item may be approved at a later time.

- c. Host Resources MIB extension for MFP devices.

New Action Item:

3. WIMS Formal Approval Review.

Discussion: WIMS Abstract protocol and schema were approved by the vote, however there was an equal number of yes votes to abstain votes. The PWG Process 2.0 contains a rule that the number of yes/no votes must not be less than the number of abstain votes. This particular vote almost violated that rule which would have resulted

in a filed vote....The possibility of lowering the voting threshold (yes/no vs. abstain) was discussed but was not deemed a good idea as it is already less of a threshold than other organizations as well as the fact that this seems to be an isolated incident with respect to other recent PWG efforts and votes. There was discussion about the possibility that one reason the abstain rate was high was that there are a large number of XML Schema in WIMS draft that may not be very clearly related to each other or to the "big picture".....

The other two items regarding changes Last Call process were discussed and deemed good ideas to try to provide more people opportunity to review (and be reminded to review) PWG working drafts.

Resolution: The SC will create an errata document to document approved modifications to the non-IP parts of the PWG Process Document. This document (when updated) will be sent out for a Call for Objections from the PWG membership for the new changes/interpretations.

The SC will also look at ways to provide "better" reviewable documentation for XML schema documents in the future to make review of PWG Working Drafts that rely on XML schema easier.

New Action Item: Jerry Thrasher..create the first draft of the PWG Process 2.0 errata document.

4. June f2f Agenda

Discussion: Topics for the next F2F meeting were discussed briefly. the WIMS/CIM group will need some time, WIMS WG group will need time, Semantic Model will need up to a day, the MFP Alerts effort will need time as well. Also, will be the plenary meeting. Harry is going to check on the status of the Projector Managment BOF as well as guageing interest in the MIB Developers Guide BOF as a result of the "ping" action item above.

Resolution:

New Action Item: Harry will start formulating a detailed agenda for the June F2F meeting.

5. Membership Status

Discussion: 21 Paid Members for 2006,
The following current members on the PWG roll have not paid:

Canon, HP, Xerox, Agfa, NexPress, Silex, and Software Imaging.

Invoices were sent out in December 2005 and due at the end of January 2006.

Resolution: The SC decided that if payment (or intent to pay) is not received by the end of May, those who haven't paid will be removed from the site and PWG rolls and may re-apply to the PWG if they choose at a later time.

New Action Item:

6. PWG Patent Policy work item.

Discussion: Discussed briefly the two introductory slides that were presented as part of the Plenary Presentation at the last F2F plenary meeting regarding the PWG patent policy. Since these slides only provide reminders of the current process document and membership agreement statements it was thought to be a good idea to include them in all PWG presentation front matter...

Resolution: It was decided that these two slides should be part of every plenary presentation and working group F2F meeting as well as being mentioned at WG conference calls to remind members about their responsibilities regarding IP issues.

New Action Item: Jerry to package the two patent policy slides and provide a place on the PWG site that they can be retrieved by presenters and working group chairs.

7. Other Topics: None.

Discussion:

Resolution:

New Action Item:

Meeting Adjourned: