# Web-based Imaging Management System WG

#### Printer Working Group Face-to-Face Meeting 26 April 2007



26 April 2007



## Agenda **Counter Spec/ Counter MIB (11-12)** Counter Spec Call for Objections Counter MIB V2 – General Counter MIB V2 – Review (Ira McDonald, High North) **CIM Realignment-Summary CIM (1:30-3) Realignment – Progress Details Rick Landau, Dell; Ira McDonald, High North**

# Web-based Imaging Management System WG Counter Spec & MB





## **Current Activities**

- Counter Spec Update in Call for Objections
   Revised Draft posted and Call for Objections 9 April
- Version 2 of Counter MIB
  - Reflects addition to Counter Spec.
  - Supports monitoring of the counters and state of all imaging services and subunits independent of any other MIB (but coherent with Printer MIB, Finisher MIB, and Host Resources MIB).
  - Resolves the main problem of MFP alerts (ambiguity about which services are affected when a given subunit has a fault).
  - Counter MIB v2 is 100% backward compatible with Counter MIB v1 (all new objects have new compliance groups).



## **Counter Spec Update**

#### Counter Spec Update in Call for Objections

 a significant issue was identified with regard to the approved PWG Candidate Standard 5106.1-2005- PWG Standard for Imaging System Counters, commonly know as the "Counter Spec"

#### – Changes

- Page 8: added to definition of "Datastream",
- "...including associated job processing instructions (e.g., job ticket.)"
- Page 11: Added definition of "Raw Traffic"
- Page 12: Added definition of "Single-sided"
- Page 28, Table 5.3.1:
  - Modified introductory paragraph
  - Modified definition of MediaUsed.MediaInfo
  - Added MediaUsed.MediaAccountingKey element to table



#### **Counter Spec Update**

 PWG Steering Committee Ruled

 Because of the low impact changes, the revised standard does not need to go though a formal approval cycle by the PWG as a whole, but that the PWG should presented with the opportunity to comment via a Call for Objections

#### • Are there any objections?



## Counter MIB

Version 2 of Counter MIB

- Ira posted Version 2 of the Counter MIB
  - <u>ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/wims/wd/wd-wimscountmib20-</u> 20070423.mib
    - ASN.1 only compiles without warnings in Epilogue and SMICng

 <u>ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/wims/wd/wd-wimscountmib20-</u> 20070423.pdf

- - PDF - color-highlighted ASN.1 of MIB



#### **Counter MIB**

- Counter MIB v2 is 100% backward compatible with Counter MIB v1 (all new objects have new compliance groups).
- Counter MIB v2 adds objects to three existing tables and adds one new table, so it must pass through the full PWG Process: Initial, Interim, Prototype, Stable, PWG Last Call, and PWG Formal Vote.
- We understand that there is an effort to prototype the Counter MIB. This would allow the MIB to proceed though the process to a Candidate Standard rather than just an informational document.
- Detailed Discussion as desired (Ira)

18 April 2007

# Web-based Imaging Management System WG CIM Printer Realignment





## Review of CIM Relationhip Background

- The PWG has long been concerned with maintaining a printer device and service management model that is consistent over the various protocols and applications that may be used for management.
- The management model for a printer device was formalized in the Printer MIBs. Aspects of device and service are also addressed in IPP and WIMS.



## Review of CIM Relationhip Background

- Although not in extensive use for printers, the DMTF CIM did address printer devices, but in a way that was incomplete and incompatible with the model represented by the Printer MIBs.
  - Some PWG Members felt that, since CIM was being used for management in general, it should consistently and adequately address printers

• Moreover, it was believed within the PWG that management over the network would migrate to a Web Services approach. Members wanted to ensure that printers (and eventually MFDs) were properly modeled.



## **Review of Background**

- Since DMTF CIM appeared to be the common path for modeling things for Web Services management, an PWG aligned CIM printer/printing model appeared desirable
- Therefore, an agreement was made with DMTF/CIM to align the CIM Printer MOF with the PWG printer device management model.
  - The PWG obligations under this agreement were met under Phase 1 of the PWG/WIMS/CIM effort.
  - The WG has gone on to phases 2 and 3 of the effort, to update and bring management coverage up to a reasonable level, but there have been unexpected difficulties in accommodating DMTF/CIM requirements.
  - However, the WG has concluded that expanding the MOFs to provide more complete modeling is a necessary step in preparation for Management by Web Services



## **Review of Background**

- Continuing Phases 2 and 3 of the CIM Realignment Activity
  - Fix definitions of ambiguous properties, deprecate noninteroperable properties; move properties to correct parent class
  - Add needed management items that are available through existing printer management methods (e.g., MIBs & IPP)



#### **CIM Realignment Activities Since last F2F**

#### Turn over to Rick Landau