PWG WIMS
Conference Call Minutes
June 9, 2011

W.A. Wagner, Chairman PWG WIMS/PMP

1 Attendees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ira McDonald</td>
<td>High North/Samsung</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Petrie</td>
<td>Epson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Wagner</td>
<td>TIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peter Zehler</td>
<td>Xerox</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2 General

- Meeting was convened at 1 PM EDT, June 9, 2011 and ended about 2:00 PM EDT
- Notice was made that the meeting was held in accord with the PWG Intellectual Property Policy. There were no objections.
- Minutes of the WIMS May 24 face-to-face meeting were accepted without comment

3 Action Items Review

- Action: Bill to propose approach for Imaging Power MIB interoperability demonstration (ongoing)
- Action: Mike to post last call comments on project charters (done)
- Action: Ira to post last call comments project charters (done)
- Action: Ira and Peter to formulate fax modem alerts and reflect in Semantic Model (ongoing, pending charter approval)
- Action: Ira and Rick to generate outline for what a CIM printer profile should contain; derive from CIM computer system profile (open, pending charter approval)
- Action: Ira to update MFD Alerts document draft (done)
- Action: Bill to Recast extracted data security information from MPSA User Access Control security article as start to MPSA Data Security article (ongoing)

4 Consider PWG Last Call status of Project charters for MFD Alerts, CIM Printer Profile, and CMMI

- In discussing comments to posted charter drafts, specific difficulty of conflict with DMTF/CIM on COM Printer Profile was discussed. Conclusion was that a PWG Best Practices document dealing with the aspects of a Printer Profile relating to CIM Printing Classes probably would be OK, with perhaps an appendix referencing existing CIM profiles for classes other than Printing.
- Since response to PWG last call was insufficient, resolution of actions to be taken considered four options:
  - Allow last call to continue until minimum response reached
  - Update proposed charter with received comments and resubmit to PWG last call
  - Update proposed charter with received comments and submit to Steering Committee for conventional project approval
  - Withdraw the proposed charters from consideration at this time.
- The consensus was:
Update and send to Steering Committee for the MFDAlerts Project. Reasoning was that this project had staffing and had significant work done, so it should continue. However resubmitting charter for PWH approval may require overlapping with August Face-to-Face, which would involve too much delay.

Withdraw the CIM Printer Profile and CMMI Project charter proposals at this time, although preliminary work can be continued/started should personnel be available and willing. Reasoning for this decision was that there was insufficient staffing identified for either project.

5 Consider Imaging Power MIB Interoperability Event

- The idea of writing a survey to determine interest, schedule, type/venue of test, degree of testing, etc was agreed to.
- Idea that testing of RowStatus operations, did not need to include create/destroy was agreed to, with proviso that it be stressed that relatively little of the Imaging Power MIB involved writing to objects.
- Interoperability demonstration to provide confidence in MIB; may not be sufficient to advance document to PWG Standard since there may be other complications. To be discussed at SC with regard to PWG Process.

6 Next Steps

- Action: Bill to check with SC on Project Charter resolutions, and announce to PWG if there is agreement.
- Action: Pete to make comments on MFD Alerts Charter
- Action: Bill to update MFD Alerts charter with comments and submit to SC for approval.
- Action: Ira and Peter to formulate fax modem alerts and reflect in Semantic Model (ongoing)
- Action: Bill to post proposed Imaging Power Management MIB Survey for WIMS review.
- Next conference call: June 23.