PWG WIMS *CIM Alignment*
Conference Call Minutes
March 8, 2007

Meeting was called to order at approximately 2 p.m. EDT March 8, 2007.

**Attendees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bill Wagner</td>
<td>TIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ira McDonald</td>
<td>High North</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rick Landau</td>
<td>Dell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craig Whittle</td>
<td>Sharp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pete Zehler</td>
<td>Xerox</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee Farrell</td>
<td>Canon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Harry Lewis</td>
<td>Ricoh</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**General Discussion**

- Craig to prepare minutes from the face-to-face meeting held in February.

- A CR recommended by CIM Core that includes a more accepted convention for status properties (that might be used in the imaging classes) has syntactical issues. Rick to look at other tools that might better diagnose the problems.

- Several comments were received from CIM Core (IBM and Semantic) on the proposed CIM_PrintOutputTray CR submitted by Rick (see Ira’s change log at the end of the draft CR).
  
    - The keys added for the creation class is not the “new style”. They recommended using InstanceID for any managed element. This is a unique token across time and space. The old value based keys (three previous properties) should no longer be used.
  
    - The name space (runtime) is created from the organization that “owns it”. For example, “hp_xxx”
  
    - The key qualifier was removed. The Element Name property is now required and is writable. This is a user-friendly name.
Some of the case in the naming convention was questioned. Despite the feedback the names will remain unchanged to match the Print MIB.

Max Capacity and Remaining Capacity wording was changed from “may not” to “shall not”.

The unit qualifiers were questioned. A new programmable units qualifier is recommend (e.g., micrometers = “meter * 10^-6”). This is applicable for new elements needing units. Some of the units are registered with IANA will remain unchanged.

There was a question about how capacity elements are written by administrators. CIM Core suggested separating capabilities from settings. This would have added a number of new classes and associations. Rick suggested the CR remain “as is” unless feedback from users suggests otherwise.

- Ira made some other minor changes (including his tool) to accommodate warnings / errors from the MOF pretty tool.
- The “pipe cleaner” idea has worked well to flush out problems.
- The process for generated the CR may take longer than originally estimated (one per week as opposed to two per week).
- The balloting process will add further delays to the process.

**Next Steps / Open Actions:**

- Rick to recompile the output tray CR before the end of the day for submission (current version = 8).
- The remaining work-in-progress CRs (marker, input tray, and channel) will be reworked (including the hand editing). Ira to repost.
- Next telecom scheduled for March 22, 2007