

# **PWG WIMS CIM Alignment**

## **Conference Call Minutes**

**May 11, 2005**

Craig Whittle - PWG WIMS/CIM Co-chair

Meeting was called to order at approximately 2 p.m. EDT May 11, 2006 by Craig Whittle.

### **Attendees**

Ira McDonald	High North
Rick Landau	Dell
Craig Whittle	Sharp

### **General Discussion**

- Minutes from the May 4<sup>th</sup> teleconference were accepted with the following modification.
  - Job setting data was not added to PrintJob and PrintService. Perhaps it belongs in a profile (or multiple profiles per Rick's suggestion).
- Rick suggested that Phase II start a new white paper. To keep the size of the document smaller.
- Ira suggested that we review the changes from the CR ballot feedback that have been added to the white paper.
- Change "top/bottom" to "head/tail".
- Should there be an inheritance diagram? Do we change the inheritance of PrintQueue not JobDestination? Change inheritance to JobQueue. JobQueue is in experimental. Do we need to be careful in referencing an experimental class? Do we need to learn how to experimental classes move to final?
- Change "weak" to "weakly related to" in PrintJobHeader
- PrintJob.SystemName: What is the system? It is the system hosting the service/job.

- PrintJob.RequiredPaperType: For v3 change to a vector type (required paper types) for job media requirements. This is done in job extensions, JDF, job ticket or as part of a simple workflow (page ranges).
- Do we really want to update the model correspondence statements to a deprecated model? Consensus: Leave alone. Just fix the ones that are blatantly wrong and don't collide with future work.

### **Next Steps / Open Actions:**

- Ira to update the white paper to create an ASCII inheritance diagram.
- Rick to ask Core where the JobQueue mof file is? Was it lost in the XML to MOF translation? Should we change the inheritance of PrintQueue. Can we change inheritance to an experimental class?
- Others need to do a review Ira's document.
- Craig to generate the next CR template (including colored text) for next CR. Rick suggested we have a separate CR for each MOF.
- Proposed schedule: Call for objections in June. End of June complete Phase I.
- Rick to ask about the process about moving from experimental to final.