PWG WIMS CIM Alignment
Conference Call Minutes
February 9, 2005

Craig Whittle - PWG WIMS/CIM Co-chair
Meeting was called to order at approximately 2 p.m. EDT February 9, 2006 by Bill Wagner.

Attendees

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attendee</th>
<th>Company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bill Wagner</td>
<td>TIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ira McDonald</td>
<td>High North</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rick Landau</td>
<td>Dell</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Craig Whittle</td>
<td>Sharp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee Farrell</td>
<td>Canon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

General Discussion

- Minutes from February 2nd teleconference were accepted.
- Rick to ask John Hass remind John Crandall to respond to Ira’s e-mail.
- Revised white paper distributed to DL. Ira to add footnote to CIM Printer Class to summarize changes. Additional edits to mapping strings and model correspondences to other properties / documents might also be needed.
- Introductory text provided by Rick Landau for change requests may be distributed / reused across CRs for different class.
- Do we need a separate CIM_Printer CR? Work can begin with the CIM_Printer CR class while the changes to the other classes are analyzed. Appropriate notes in the CR for future work (reference to deprecated attributes) may be needed.
- 28 of 32 properties will be changed in CIM_Printer. Size of “Requested Change” section may need to be shortened. Will this be acceptable to CIM Core? Changes should be numbered. Sub-grouping (letters 1.a.) might be appropriate for to group multiple changes to a single property.
• Discussion points are used to collect feedback from reviews (not needed for first draft).

• Rick used Ira’s white paper and previous presentation given to CIM Core as the source material for the background section.

• Ira suggested italicizing the sentence, “If these properties are to be visible to CIM-based management applications, then they must appear in the CIM model.”

• Several of the “boxes’ in the CR were reviewed.

• Should use newest version of CIM (see CIMv2.11-final and CIMv2.11-experimental files)

**Next Steps / Open Actions:**

• Rick to ask John Hass remind John Crandall to respond to Ira’s e-mail.

• Group to review changes proposed in Ira’s paper (looking for cut-and-paste errors).

• Craig to begin editing work and provide an estimate for completing the work in next week’s teleconference.

• Next teleconference is scheduled for 2/16/06.