The Semantic Model Workgroup Conference Call was called to order at approximately 3:00PM EST February 22, 2016 by the chairman, Daniel Manchala.

1) Attendees
   Daniel Manchala (Xerox)
   Smith Kennedy (HP)
   Paul Tykodi (TCS)
   William Wagner (TIC)
   Rick Yardumian (Canon)

2) Agenda
   a) Administrivia (roll call, IP statement, additions to Agenda)
   b) Review of Face-to-face minutes (ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/sm3/minutes/SMWG-f2f-sm3-Minutes-20160210.pdf): These minutes were approved.
   c) Check on Action Items
      i) Ira to follow up with Rainer Prosi for JDF examples to be used to prototype JDFMAP: In process - Rainer has acknowledged request.
      ii) Paul to contact PWG members to prototype JDFMAP pending JDF examples: awaits sample JDF tickets
      iii) Process for Schemata Development and Approval document to be updated: done
      iv) Specific Semantic Model application of Process to be considered: open
      v) Identify necessary changes and implement Update of Semantic Model Schema: open
      vi) Create Semantic Model directory in GitHub and start phasing XML development code to this directory: In process - Smith indicated that he would do this. He asked workgroup officers to send their GitHub signon information to him.
   e) Discussion of SM implementation of Schemata Policy
   f) Discussion of whether current schema should be wrapped up as SM2 (with perhaps some un-adopted elements removed), and a new version including Cloud, and 3D started as SM3.
   g) Next Steps.

3) Discussion of PWG Policy for Maintenance and Approval of Schemata Draft at:
   a) There was no objection to the approach of this being submitted as a general PWG policy, to be supplemented by a specific Semantic Model workgroup policy.
b) There were no specific comments on the new draft of the PWG Policy for Maintenance and Approval of Schemata. It will be submitted to the PWG SC.

4) Discussion of SM implementation of Schemata Policy


b) That document will be reviewed to be considered for the Semantic Model specific process description.

5) Discussion of whether current schema should be wrapped up as SM2 (with perhaps some un-adopted elements removed), and a new version including Cloud, and 3D started as SM3.

a) V1.185 is the last 'named' version and the definitive version for MFD.

b) There were some corrections and additions (security) to V1.185, which are reflected in the 2.xx series versions. The last version that included these corrections but did not go beyond the MFD configuration is 2.900. It was suggested that that version be checked to be the valid MDF model and be re-released as a named version. The number was not fully agreed upon but 2.91 seemed reasonable. This would then become the definitive SM2 schema. It would need to be determined if this should be approved via vote or call for objections since the numbering shows a major revision from the last approved version, but the changes do not affect compatibility.

c) SM3 Schema would then refer to the model of a Cloud and 3D capable system. The initial version would start with 2.905, but have the major elements for Cloud and 3D added. This would be the working version placed in GitHub.

d) It was not determined whether it was necessary to ensure backward compatibility of SM3 with SM2. It was not determined if EmailIn, EmailOut, and FaxIn should be reclassified as distinct "light" services, or just services with limited capabilities.

6) Action Items and Next Steps

a) Next Steps

i) The most current versions of schema and wsdl that properly reflect the basic MFD will be identified and it will be verified that these do not contain Cloud of 3D specific elements. This will then be released as a named version and subjected to approval at a level agreed to by the Steering Committee.

ii) A baseline SM3 configuration will be agreed to, and will be entered into GitHub as the current working draft for the SM3 configuration.

b) Action Items

i) Ira to obtain from Rainer Prosi JDF examples to be used to prototype JDFMAP.
ii) Paul to contact PWG members to prototype JDFMAP pending JDF examples.
iii) Process for Schemata Development and Approval document to be submitted to SC. (Bill)
iv) **Specific Semantic Model application of Process to be considered (all)**

v) **Approach necessary to make named version of schema reflecting fully corrected**
**SM2, and get approval determined. (Daniel)**

vi) **Semantic Model Schema Directory added to PWG GitHub directory (Smith)**

vii) **Next SM conference call will be 3PM Eastern Time on March 7.**

Submitted by Bill Wagner 24 February 2016