# Attendees

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Nancy Chen | Oki Data |
| Andrew Mitchell | HP |
| Joe Murdock | Sharp |
| Glen Petrie | Epson |
| Rick Yardumian | Canon |
| Ron Nevo  Ira McDonald | Samsung  Samsung/High North |
|  |  |

# Agenda

Joe Murdock opened the IDS meeting and provided the planned agenda topics:

* Review Action Item status
* Discuss remaining IAA slides not covered at Feb F2F

# Minutes Taker

Andrew Mitchell

# PWG Operational Policy

It was noted that all attendees should be aware that the meeting is conducted under the PWG Membership and Intellectual Property rules. There were no objections.

# Approve Minutes from previous meeting

Minutes from the previous meeting are at <ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/ids/minutes/IDS-f2f-minutes-20110224.pdf>.

There were no objections to the previous meeting’s minutes.

# Review Action Items

The most recent Action Item spreadsheet is available at: <ftp://ftp.pwg.org/pub/pwg/ids/ActionItems/> .

Action item updates and comments:

44 – would like to get a higher priority – bring this up at f2f

78 – Joe made the changes and sent off to Mike. Done

Ira – shouldn't we update the IDS charter

Joe – New Action Item for the F2F to review the charter

Ira – Should have correct file name and paragraph or bullet item to correctly reflect activities

81 – Ira – IDS Log is a mature spec, IDS IA&A may be delayed. Suggests fixing text in AI 81 directly in the IDS Log spec. (done.)

New Action items:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 84 | 3/10/2011 | Joe Murdock | WG Admin |  | Review IDS Charter to make sure it still reflect new directions. Add discusion as a F2F topic |  |

Binding discussion:

Ira – will the IDS spec have any bindings in it?

Joe – Yes we will have some sort of binding, Ira – maybe as an appendix Joe – like an XML Schema extension to WS–Print.

Ira – WS-Print may not be the best place Ira – where is IPP does it go? JPS3?

Joe – discuss this at the IPP time

Ira – we should make an effort at the F2F to make sure that this activity gets tracked across groups and not drops

Ira – this issue rears it ugly head with multiple working groups, esp Cloud, IPP, and MFD given the current work.

Ira – discussion of the TNC binding

Joe – In the particular the NAC case is important

Ira – Point is that IPP and Cloud are both biting off more then simply print, so TNC and IAA are relevant.

Ira – Such as instantiating a new scan service Joe – Glad you're thinking.

Ira – worried because cross group action items tend to fall on the floor, but they are becoming more important.

Face to face planning and next steps

Joe – These are good items to cover at the F2F

Joe – Any other items for the F2F No response

Ira – Syslog spec, IAA, Mind Maps

Joe – yes, these will be there.

Ira – Section of 20-30 minutes to do the outline. Go and look at the MM and start it

Joe – maybe do real time spec writing

Ira – We need to get work done at the F2F since we seem to only getting planning for the next F2F done between the F2F's

Joe – any other topics

Ira – Maybe anything that other groups want to toss over to us?

Joe – One or more identity services?

Ira – Registration/discovery needs actual bindings due to Cloud work.

Joe – Exactly. We need the same thing in IDS

Ira – two levels, what is a reportable attributes, and what is the security model Joe – we may need to look at our NAC attributes again for things like authority settings, etc. What do we need to update given the apparent use of NAC as a network blocker (remove device from network) instead of an network acceptor at the RSA Meetings

# Summary of New Action Items and Open Issues

## New action items

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 84 | 3/10/2011 | Joe Murdock | WG Admin |  | Review IDS Charter to make sure it still reflect new directions. Add discusion as a F2F topic |  |

## New issues

1. Raise priority of work on NEA Binding
2. Increase interaction and work tracking with other working groups (IPP-Everywhere)

## Old issues

1. How are administrators notified of remediation issues? Does the HCD ever initiate a notification, or is it always the remediation server that initiates notification? Does this same issue apply to policy servers?
2. What is a “fatal” error? Under what circumstances (if any) do we require the HCD to be shut down?

# Wrap up and adjournment

The next IDS conference call is on Thursday, March 24, 2011, starting at 1PM EDT.

IDS meeting adjourned.