1. **Attendees**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Company</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lee Farrell</td>
<td>Canon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glen Petrie</td>
<td>Epson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ira McDonald</td>
<td>High North</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jerry Thrasher</td>
<td>Lexmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dave Whitehead</td>
<td>Lexmark</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mike Fenelon</td>
<td>Microsoft</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joe Murdock</td>
<td>Sharp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ron Nevo</td>
<td>Sharp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bill Wagner</td>
<td>TIC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2. **Agenda**

Dave Whitehead opened the IDS session and provided the planned agenda topics:

- Assign Scribe
- Statement of IP Policy
- Accept Previous Minutes
- Agenda Bashing
- Review Action Items
- Discuss Glen’s Comments on Attribute document
- Next Steps
- Adjourn

3. **Minutes Taker**

Lee Farrell

4. **PWG Operational Policy**

It was noted that all attendees should be aware that the meeting is conducted under the PWG Membership and Intellectual Property rules. There were no objections.

5. **Approve Minutes from June 4 Teleconference**

There were no objections to the previous Minutes.

6. **Review Action Items**

7. **Glen’s Comments on Attribute document**

Dave led a review of each of Glen’s comments, and Jerry (as Editor) recorded which modifications were accepted and should be included in the next draft. A few modifications that Jerry had proposed were also discussed. This occupied the remaining of the meeting.

The group considered eliminating any “endian” commentary in the definitions of terms. This is more appropriate to specific bindings.

Glen had several suggestions for changes to move text that he thought was related to conformance from the Attribute definitions to the Conformance section. Jerry suggested that we should change the title of the section from “General Attribute Definitions” to “General Attribute Definitions and Descriptions.”
8. **Prototype of a Health Validator**

Mike noted that because some of the attributes are only specified as “vendor defined,” he is not sure how things will actually be validated without a better understanding of the acceptable values and/or format. He would like to avoid a method that puts a significant burden on the Validator.

The problem and concern was acknowledged by the attendees, but no one had an immediate suggestion on how to address the issue—other than having a vendor plug-in that does the interpretation.

Perhaps a recommended approach on format and content could be developed and documented to address this issue.

Jerry noted that the attribute HCD_Certification_State might be dictated by an outside organization—for example Common Criteria certification values will be different for various products, and not up to the vendor to choose.

9. **Next Steps**

Next teleconference will be after the face-to-face meeting.

IDS meeting adjourned.

10. **Summary of New Action Items and Issues**

None.