1. **Attendees**

   Lee Farrell  
   Glen Petrie  
   Ira McDonald  
   Jerry Thrasher  
   Dave Whitehead  
   Joe Murdock  
   Ron Nevo  
   Bill Wagner  

   Canon  
   Epson  
   High North  
   Lexmark  
   Lexmark  
   Sharp  
   Sharp  
   TIC

2. **Agenda**

   Dave Whitehead opened the IDS session and provided the planned agenda topics:
   
   - Assign Scribe
   - Statement of IP Policy
   - Accept Previous Minutes
     
   - Agenda Bashing
   - Review Action Items
   - Status of Attribute Document
     
   - Status of NAP Binding Document
     
   - Feedback from Microsoft NAP team, if any
   - Face-to-face meeting agenda
   - Next Steps
   - Adjourn

3. **Minutes Taker**

   Lee Farrell

4. **PWG Operational Policy**

   It was noted that all attendees should be aware that the meeting is conducted under the PWG Membership and Intellectual Property rules. There were no objections.

5. **Approve Minutes from May 21 Teleconference**

   There were no objections to the previous Minutes.
6. **Review Action Items**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AI 001:</th>
<th>Randy Turner will try to find other contacts that would be willing to work with the PWG to help deploy NEA health assessment. (Juniper, Symantec, Cisco are suggested candidates.) Is someone willing to sit down with the PWG and “have discussions”?</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>→</td>
<td>No new info to report.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>→</td>
<td>ONGOING</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AI 002:</th>
<th>Joe Murdock will add NAP protocol information to document and update the conformance section.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>→</td>
<td>In progress. Will be done by the June face-to-face meeting.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>→</td>
<td>OPEN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AI 010:</th>
<th>Brian Smithson will investigate whether a formal relationship document can be created between TCG and PWG. He will find out their position on liaison agreements.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>→</td>
<td>OPEN</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AI 012:</th>
<th>Mike Fenelon will coordinate the next opportunity for a discussion with the Microsoft NAP team.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>→</td>
<td>ONGOING</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AI 014:</th>
<th>Brian Smithson will communicate the results of the straw poll to the TCG board member responsible for the TCG HCWG.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>→</td>
<td>This was included in the TCG HCWG teleconference meeting Minutes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>→</td>
<td>CLOSED</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

7. **Status of Attribute Document**

Jerry has incorporated all the changes identified from the comments from Steve Hanna. No other comments had been received during the Last Call.

Glen had a few comments that he raised:

- Several acronyms are not listed in the acronym table.
- In Section 4.1, under the definitions of items there seems to be embedded requirement(s) which he thinks might be more appropriate in Section 5. [There was some disagreement on this by others.]

Glen will submit the comments to the Editor for consideration.

Ira noted that we should have Normative References.

It was suggested that a reference should be included to indicate the source from which the IDS derives its abstract data type definitions.
The topic was raised of whether the IDS group should have representation in the Semantic Model. Ira suggested that the details could be included in the MFD System object.

Bill noted that this topic might not be part of the current scope of the MFD Working Group activity. It was suggested that Pete Zehler’s opinion should be solicited, and would be a good topic for the PWG Plenary.

The topic was raised of whether the IDS group should have representation in the Semantic Model. Ira suggested that the details could be included in the MFD System object.

Bill noted that this topic might not be part of the current scope of the MFD Working Group activity. It was suggested that Pete Zehler’s opinion should be solicited, and would be a good topic for the PWG Plenary.

8. **Status of NAP Binding Document**
   No progress to report.

9. **Feedback from Microsoft NAP team, if any**
   No progress to report.

10. **Face-to-face meeting agenda**
    Dave will add the agenda topic of IDS representation in the Semantic Model. This is an appropriate discussion topic for the Plenary Session.

    Dave suggested that the group begin an effort with Mike Fenelon to coordinate a meeting with the NAP team during the August meeting at Microsoft.

    People are encouraged to give any additional topics to Dave and Ron if they think of any items.

11. **Next Steps**
    Next teleconference will be after the face-to-face meeting.

    Reminder: Generate all slides for the face-to-face meeting and submit to Jerry by June 12.

    IDS meeting adjourned.
12. **Summary of New Action Items and Issues**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>AI 015:</strong></td>
<td>Jerry Thrasher will create yet another LCRC draft of the Attribute document to include corrections identified at the June 4 teleconference.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AI 016:</strong></td>
<td>Jerry Thrasher will add the topic of including IDS information in the MFD Semantic Model to the June Plenary meeting.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>