Cloud Imaging Model WG Minutes
September 8, 2014

Meeting was called to order at approximately 3pm ET September 8, 2014.

Attendees

Daniel Manchala (Xerox)
Tim McCann (Konica Minolta)
Ron Nevo (Samsung)
Mike Sweet (Apple)
Bill Wagner (TIC)
Rick Yardumian (Canon)

Agenda Items

1. IP Policy and Minute Taker
   a. Policy accepted with Mike taking the minutes

2. Approve Previous Minutes
   b. Approved as posted

3. Requirements and Model
   b. Still some issues with the WD template, Bill will work with Mike on them
   c. Section 2.2, Line 326: missing CR before Owner:
   d. Section 2.3: Add boilerplate text about protocol roles (so readers know what we mean)
   e. Q: What about an appendix or white paper talking about using SM interface between proxy and local imaging system, relationship between those operations and proxy operations, etc.?
      - A: Yes, do as appendix that can be referenced
      - Bullet 1 in 4.2.2 might be a good place to add a reference
   f. Global: Xform -> Transform
   g. Q: Does Scan use FetchDocument or FetchJob to get InputElements and Destination?
      - A: Since Scan clients provide InputElements and Destination in the CreateJob operation, they should be returned by FetchJob
      - FaxOut is different (Destination from FetchJob, InputElements from FetchDocument)
      - No FetchDocument for Scan
   h. Table 2:
      - FetchDocument: InputElements (for FaxOut) OR DocumentData OR DocumentUri
      - FetchJob: Destination (for Scan and FaxOut)
- FetchJob: DestinationAccesses (for Scan)
- FetchJob: InputElements (for Scan)
- Fix underlined things
- Update notes 6 and 7 to reflect scan and faxout usage
i. Section 4.2.2.4:
   - Update to reflect scan and faxout usage of InputElements
j. Section 4.2.2.10.2:
   - Bullet 4: "(this" -> "This"
k. Section 4.3.3, line 1660: "Document" should be "Document"
l. Section 4.3.4: Adjust to reflect that InputElements come from FetchJob, use this for a new FaxOut sequence
m. Section 5:
   - Items 2 and 3 should be sub-elements to 1.
n. Section 6: Reference MFD Model?
o. Section 7:
   - Drop specifics: no TLS, no X.509
   - Put 4 before 3
   - Item 5: Be more explicit about what is authenticated; maybe merge with 3 to make a single general statement
p. Section 8:
   - IANA and PWG Considerations
   - Add subsection calling for the Cloud Model to be merged into the Semantic Model
q. Table 6:
   - Add Destination, DestinationAccesses
   - Come up with a better way to highlight new elements (currently just *)
r. Q: Do we add <service> to operation names?
   - A: No, leave as-is, Semantic Model can add them in if they want

**Next Steps / Open Actions**

- Next Cloud conference call September 22, 2014 at 3pm ET.
- Action: Mike to apply (and fix, as needed) current WD template to Cloud spec (DONE)